

GRID INTEGRATION OF E-MOBILITY IN A WIRELESS WAY

-IP4: HARNESSING DISRUPTIVE TECHNOLOGIES FOR EARTHQUAKE RESILIENCE





Yuan Liu

The University of Auckland Department of Electrical, Computer and Software Engineering











V2G and WV2G

WV2G System Structure

Research Scope

Research Objectives

Previous research



Background

This IP4 program will identify how transformational (i.e. order of magnitude) advancements in NZs infrastructure resilience can be achieved through strategic adoption of disruptive technologies, via government and market-led initiatives. A central hypothesis is that rapid adoption of several disruptive technologies (e.g. distributed solar power, autonomous transport, and a sensing society) will result in a significantly greater resilience gain than the conventional wisdom of incremental investment to improve existing asset classes (e.g. centralized transmission networks, physical logistics, significantly increased public awareness and preparedness).

The following key questions needs to be understood:

•What is the failure hierarchy of a renewable distributed energy system in seismic events?

How should existing asset management investment occur to provide resilience during the transition to a renewable and distributed energy system?
How can real-time sensing enable early detection of network degradation pre-event, and situational awareness in the immediate post-event environment for rapid restoration?

•How do individual utility networks develop resilience to externality risks and avoid contagion?

•How does the trade-off in electrification of transportation, reducing vulnerable reliance on liquid fuels, but increasing resilience requirements for electricity, play out over time?

•How will autonomous transportation modes function in a beyond business-as-usual environment? (e.g. physically damaged roads, disrupted electrical systems)







Why EV?







Fig. 1. International electrification targets

NZ government policy

4

Charging Our Future: National electric vehicle charging strategy for Aotearoa New Zealand 2023-2035 The long-term vision and strategic plan for Aotearoa New Zealand's electric vehicle (EV) charging infrastructure



Automobile Exhaust air Pollution

Depletion of fossil resources

Development of smart/distribution grid



V2G

Vehicle-to-grid, or **V2G for short**, is a technology that enables energy to be pushed back to the power grid from the battery of an electric vehicle (EV). With V2G technology, an EV battery can be discharged based on different signals — such as energy production or consumption nearby.

V2G technology enables the flow of the energy between the car's battery and the grid.



Fig. 2. A grid connected bi-directional V2G



WV2G

Wireless Vehicle-to-grid, or **WV2G for short**, is a technology that enables energy to be pushed back to the power grid from the battery of an electric vehicle (EV) wirelessly.

Bi-directional WV2G

AC power from the grid is converted to direct current (DC) voltage that is stored in the car's battery while charging. Then, EV drivers can access the power in the battery to power a home or add power back to the electricity grid. For this to happen, the power is converted from DC to AC electricity. A converter in the vehicle or in the charger itself performs this function, which takes the energy stored in the car's battery and push it back to the power grid wirelessly.



Fig. 3. A diagram of grid connected bi-directional wireless V2G



Reduce electric shocks

Convenient and safe for the user



Why WV2G?



Eliminate charging-wire messes







Bi-directional WPT







Advantages of Bi-directional Wireless power transfer

- Vehicle to Grid (V2G)/ Grid to Vehicle (G2V)
- Vehicle to X (Vehicle to Home (V2H), Vehicle to Load (V2L), Vehicle to Vehicle (V2V))
- Backup Power During Blackouts
- Greater Spatial freedom between the power source and the device
- Eliminating charging cords for compact design and adoption in harsh environments.

Inductive Power Transfer (IPT)

Basic structure and working principle:



Fig. 4. Block diagram of a typical IPT system

Features:

- Eliminating charging cords for compact and wearable devices.
- Preventing corrosion and sparkling in coal mine and deep sea.
- Reducing costs associated with maintaining mechanical connectors.
- Allowing for greater spatial freedom between the power source and the charging device, and multiple-device charging.



QuakeCoRE

TDK's 200w robot by IPT Robot



Spansive wireless charger



EV from Witricity

Ground Assembly (GA







IPT to deep-tissue microimplants



Wireless charging for home appliances



construction (b) DWC-EV in operation

Online Electric Vehicle from KAIST



Road for dynamic charging in UK







IPT – based EV











Basic structure and working principle:



Fig. 7. Block diagram of a typical CPT system

Features:

- Eliminating charging cords for compact and wearable devices.
- Light, compact structure and reduced costs compared with IPT coil couplers.
- Allowing for greater spatial freedom between the power source and the charging device, and multiple-device charging.

Challenges:

- Complexity in modelling the couplers.
- Sensitivity due to high frequency.
- Dependency on the material of the couplers and ambient environment.



CPT to deep-tissue micro-implants







Hitachi CPT charger

CPT charging for drones



Fig. 8. Applications of CPT systems



Fig. 9. A Typical CPT-based EV

12







Present Challenges of WV2G Systems

Requirements:

- High power
- High efficiency
- Compact structure on Pick-up (EV) side

Challenges:

- High Current in coupled coils (IPT)
- Weak coupling <
- High Voltage on coupled plates (CPT)
- Power and Efficiency drop due to misalignments

Research Objectives







Possible topics:

- New compensation topologies
- New control methods
- Integration of EVs to other smart/micro-grids



Ph. D research

Two-coil IPT setup



Fig. 10. The researched IPT system. (a) A two-coil coupled IPT system, (b) The analysed two-coil setup

The expressions of magnetic and electric field in the near field region

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{H}_{1} &= H_{1r}\vec{r_{1}} + H_{1\theta}\vec{\theta_{1}} & \mathbf{H}_{2} = H_{2r}\vec{r_{2}} + H_{2\theta}\vec{\theta_{2}} \\ H_{1r} &= \frac{\alpha_{1}^{2}}{2r_{1}^{3}}I_{1}e^{-j(\omega t + kr_{1})}\cos\theta_{1} & H_{2r} = \frac{\alpha_{2}^{2}}{2r_{2}^{3}}I_{2}e^{-j(\omega t + kr_{2} + \phi)}\cos\theta_{2} \overset{(1)}{=} \\ H_{1\theta} &= \frac{\alpha_{1}^{2}}{4r_{1}^{3}}I_{1}e^{-j(\omega t + kr_{1})}\sin\theta_{1} & H_{2\theta} = \frac{\alpha_{2}^{2}}{4r_{2}^{3}}I_{2}e^{-j(\omega t + kr_{2} + \phi)}\sin\theta_{2} \\ \mathbf{E}_{1} &= E_{1\phi}\vec{\phi} & \mathbf{E}_{2} = E_{2\phi}\vec{\phi} \\ E_{1\phi} &= -j\eta\frac{k\alpha_{1}^{2}}{4r_{1}^{2}}I_{1}e^{-j(\omega t + kr_{1})}\sin\theta_{1} & E_{2\phi} = -j\eta\frac{k\alpha_{2}^{2}}{4r_{2}^{2}}I_{2}e^{-j(\omega t + kr_{2} + \phi)}\sin\theta_{2} \end{split}$$



NZ Centre for Earthquake Resilience Die 1. Parameters of the proposed IP Asia





Parameters	Values
System operating frequency f	1 MHz
Angular frequency ω	6.2832×10 ⁶ rad/s
Primary current I ₁ (peak)	10A
Turns N ₁ =N ₂	1
Radii of two coils a ₁ =a ₂	0.48m
Radii of two wires b ₁ =b ₂	0.005m
Separation distance d	0.4m
Resistor load R_L	5Ω
Tuning capacitor C _s	9.05nF

Field energy density of the electric field

$$\mathbf{E}_{\epsilon} = \begin{pmatrix} \sin\theta_{1}\cos\varphi & \cos\theta_{1}\cos\varphi & -\sin\varphi\\ \sin\theta_{1}\sin\varphi & \cos\theta_{1}\sin\varphi & \cos\varphi\\ \cos\theta_{1} & -\sin\theta_{1} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \cdot \mathbf{E}_{1} + \begin{pmatrix} \sin\theta_{2}\cos\varphi & \cos\theta_{2}\cos\varphi & -\sin\varphi\\ \sin\theta_{2}\sin\varphi & \cos\theta_{2}\sin\varphi & \cos\varphi\\ \cos\theta_{2} & -\sin\theta_{2} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \cdot \mathbf{E}_{2}$$
$$u_{E} = \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon_{0} \cdot \mathbf{R} \, \mathbf{e}[\mathbf{E}_{\epsilon}]^{2}$$

Field energy density of the magnetic field •

$$\mathbf{H}_{c} = \begin{pmatrix} \sin\theta_{1}\cos\varphi & \cos\theta_{1}\cos\varphi & -\sin\varphi\\ \sin\theta_{1}\sin\varphi & \cos\theta_{1}\sin\varphi & \cos\varphi\\ \cos\theta_{1} & -\sin\theta_{1} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \cdot \mathbf{H}_{1} + \begin{pmatrix} \sin\theta_{2}\cos\varphi & \cos\theta_{2}\cos\varphi & -\sin\varphi\\ \sin\theta_{2}\sin\varphi & \cos\theta_{2}\sin\varphi & \cos\varphi\\ \cos\theta_{2} & -\sin\theta_{2} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \cdot \mathbf{H}_{2}$$
$$u_{H} = \frac{1}{2}\mu_{0} \cdot \operatorname{Re}[\mathbf{H}_{c}]^{2} \in \mathbf{H}_{c}$$

ΤD

Magnetic field distribution (open)

•

$$\vec{B}_{x} = \vec{B}_{x1} + \vec{B}_{x2} = \operatorname{Re}\left[\frac{\mu_{0}(i_{1} + i_{2})a}{4\pi} \int_{0}^{2\pi} \frac{z\cos\theta}{\left[x^{2} + y^{2} + z^{2} + a^{2} - 2a(x\cos\theta + y\sin\theta)\right]^{\frac{3}{2}}} d\theta\right]$$

$$\vec{B}_{y} = \vec{B}_{y1} + \vec{B}_{y2} = \operatorname{Re}\left[\frac{\mu_{0}(i_{1}+i_{2})a}{4\pi}\int_{0}^{2\pi}\frac{z\sin\theta}{\left[x^{2}+y^{2}+z^{2}+a^{2}-2a(x\cos\theta+y\sin\theta)\right]^{\frac{3}{2}}}\,\mathrm{d}\theta\right]$$
$$\vec{B}_{z} = \vec{B}_{z1} + \vec{B}_{z2} = \operatorname{Re}\left[\frac{\mu_{0}(i_{1}+i_{2})a}{4\pi}\int_{0}^{2\pi}\frac{a-x\cos\theta}{\left[x^{2}+y^{2}+z^{2}+a^{2}-2a(x\cos\theta+y\sin\theta)\right]^{\frac{3}{2}}}\,\mathrm{d}\theta\right]_{\phi}$$

THE UNIVERSITY OF 222 OuakeCoRE NZ Centre for Earthquake Resilience Te Hiranaa Rū ×10⁻⁴ × 10⁻⁴ 3.5 at different heights(z planes) B field from MATLAB z=0 B field from CST z=0.25a ; field on z=0 plane z=0.5a z=0.75a z=a magnetic field a 0.5 Radial r -1 -0.8 -0.6 -0.4 -0.2 0 0.2 0.4 0.6 0.8 -1 -0.8 -0.6 -0.4 -0.2 0 0.2 0.4 0.6 0.8 (a) (b)

Fig. 11 (a) Magnetic field of the primary coil along the radial direction the from MATLAB and CST, (b) Magnetic field of the primary coil along the radial direction at different z-heights



magnetic

Radial r



Fig. 12 (a) Electric field of the primary coil along the radial direction the from MATLAB and CST, (b) Electric field of the primary coil along the radial direction at different z-heights

-0.4 -0.2 0

(a)

0.2

0.4 0.6 0.8

-1

-0.8 -0.6

۲







Electric field distribution (loaded)



Fig. 13 Radial magnetic field at different z heights between two coils

Fig. 14 Radial electric field between two coils at different z heights







• Field energy density distribution (open)





(a)







• Field energy density distribution (loaded)



(a)







• Field energy density distribution (loaded)



Fig. 16 Time sequence of field and field energy density distribution for the 2D system with a loaded secondary. (a) $\omega t = 0$, (b) $\omega t = \pi/4$, (c) $\omega t = \pi/2$, (d) $\omega t = 3\pi/4$







• B and E field at an arbitrary point between and around two coupled coils

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{H}_{1} &= H_{1r}\vec{r}_{1} + H_{1\theta}\vec{\theta}_{1} & \mathbf{H}_{2} = H_{2r}\vec{r}_{2} + H_{2\theta}\vec{\theta}_{2} \\ H_{1r} &= \frac{ka_{1}^{2}}{2}(\frac{j}{r_{1}^{2}} + \frac{1}{kr_{1}^{3}})\hat{I}_{1}e^{-j(\omega t + kr_{1})}\cos\theta_{1} & H_{2r} = \frac{ka_{2}^{2}}{2}(\frac{j}{r_{2}^{2}} + \frac{1}{kr_{2}^{3}})\hat{I}_{2}e^{-j(\omega t + kr_{2} + \phi)}\cos\theta_{2} \\ H_{1\theta} &= -\frac{(ka_{1})^{2}}{4}(\frac{1}{r_{1}} + \frac{1}{jkr_{1}^{2}} - \frac{1}{k^{2}r_{1}^{3}})\hat{I}_{1}e^{-j(\omega t + kr_{1})}\sin\theta_{1} & H_{2\theta} = -\frac{(ka_{2})^{2}}{4}(\frac{1}{r_{2}} + \frac{1}{jkr_{2}^{2}} - \frac{1}{k^{2}r_{2}^{3}})\hat{I}_{2}e^{-j(\omega t + kr_{2} + \phi)}\sin\theta_{2} \\ \mathbf{E}_{1} &= E_{1\phi}\vec{\phi} & \mathbf{E}_{2} = E_{2\phi}\vec{\phi} \\ E_{1\phi} &= \eta \frac{(ka_{1})^{2}}{4}(\frac{1}{r_{1}} + \frac{1}{jkr_{1}^{2}})\hat{I}_{1}e^{-j(\omega t + kr_{1})}\sin\theta_{1} & E_{2\phi} = \eta \frac{(ka_{2})^{2}}{4}(\frac{1}{r_{2}} + \frac{1}{jkr_{2}^{2}})\hat{I}_{2}e^{-j(\omega t + kr_{2} + \phi)}\sin\theta_{2} \end{aligned}$$

Note: $\vec{r}_i = \vec{\theta}_i$ and $\vec{\varphi}$ are the orthogonal unit vectors in the directions of r_i , θ_i and φ in the spherical coordinates.

The unit vector \vec{r}_i represents the direction in which the radial distance from the centre of two coils increases,

- θ_i represents the direction in which the angle from the positive z-axis is increasing,
- $\vec{\varphi}$ represents the direction in which the angle in the xy plane counter clockwise from the positive x-axis is increasing.









• Poynting vector at an arbitrary point between and around two coupled coils

The time-averaged Poynting vector \mathbf{S} , by its definition as the half of the cross-product of \mathbf{E} and conjugate \mathbf{H} (\mathbf{H}^*), is



$$\mathbf{S}_1 = \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Re}(\mathbf{E}_1 \times \mathbf{H}_1^*) = 0$$

$$\mathbf{S}_2 = \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Re}(\mathbf{E}_2 \times \mathbf{H}_2^*) = 0$$

The majority of IPT system running frequencies range from tens of kHz up to 13.56 MHz, so the wavenumber k ($k=\omega/c$) is much less than 1 in IPT systems

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{S}_{12} &= \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Re}(\mathbf{E}_{1} \times \mathbf{H}_{2}^{*}) = \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Re}(-\mathbf{E}_{1\phi} \cdot \mathbf{H}_{2\phi}^{*}) \vec{r}_{2} + \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Re}(\mathbf{E}_{1\phi} \cdot \mathbf{H}_{2r}^{*}) \vec{\theta}_{2} \\ &= \mathbf{S}_{12r_{2}} = -\alpha \cdot \sin \theta_{2} \cdot \sin(kr_{2} - kr_{1} + \phi) \\ &= \mathbf{S}_{12\theta_{2}} = \alpha \cdot 2\cos \theta_{2} \cdot \sin(-kr_{1} + kr_{2} + \phi) \\ \mathbf{S}_{21} &= \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Re}(\mathbf{E}_{2} \times \mathbf{H}_{1}^{*}) = \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Re}(-\mathbf{E}_{2\phi} \cdot \mathbf{H}_{1\theta}^{*}) \vec{r}_{1} + \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Re}(\mathbf{E}_{2\phi} \cdot \mathbf{H}_{1r}^{*}) \vec{\theta}_{1} \\ &= \mathbf{S}_{21r} = -\alpha \cdot \sin \theta_{1} \cdot \sin(\phi - kr_{1} + kr_{2}) \\ &= \mathbf{S}_{21\theta_{1}} = \alpha \cdot 2\cos \theta_{1} \cdot \sin(\phi - kr_{1} + kr_{2}) \\ &= where \qquad \alpha = \frac{\eta k a_{1}^{2} a_{2}^{2} \hat{I}_{1} \hat{I}_{2} \sin \theta_{1}}{32r_{1}^{2}r_{2}^{3}} \end{split}$$







• Poynting vector at an arbitrary point between and around two coupled coils



Fig.17 . Poynting vector distribution sketch in the xy mid-plane.

The selected point P is in the xy plane along the y axis, and r is the distance between P and the origin, which indicates the radius of the mid-plane.

The Poynting vector in the middle xy plane

 $\mathbf{S} = (0, \ 0, \ k\eta a_1^2 a_2^2 \hat{I}_1 \hat{I}_2 \sin \phi \frac{24dr^2}{(d^2 + 4r^2)^4}) \qquad \begin{aligned} \sin \theta_i &= r / r_i, \\ \cos \theta_i &= (-1)^{i-1} d / 2r_i, \\ r_i &= \sqrt{r^2 + (d/2)^2}, \ i \in \{1, 2\}, \\ \varphi \in [0, 2\pi); \end{aligned}$

To determine the power transfer, the z component has been integrated across the mid-plane between the two coupled coils,

$$P = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mathbf{S} \cdot \vec{n} \cdot A d\sigma = k \eta a_1^2 a_2^2 \hat{I}_1 \hat{I}_2 \sin \phi \int_0^{\infty} \frac{24 dr^2}{(d^2 + 4r^2)^4} \cdot 2\pi r dr$$

 $= \frac{\omega\mu_0\pi a_1^2 a_2^2 \hat{I}_1 \hat{I}_2 \sin\phi}{4d^3}$ $P = \omega M I_1 I_2 \sin\phi$

Same result by the lumped circuit theory

where $k\eta = \omega \mu_{0}$, μ_{0} and ε_{0} are the magnetic permeability and electric permeability in vacuum

- M is the mutual inductance between the two-coupled single turn circular coils
- I1 and I2 are RMS values of the currents in both the primary and secondary coil
- $\boldsymbol{\varphi}$ is the phase of the secondary current lagging that of the primary current

 QuakeCoRE

 NZ Centre for Earthquake Resilience

 Te Hiranga Rü





• Example power flow analysis

Table 2 Parameters of the proposed IPT setup

Parameters	Values
System operating frequency f	1 MHz
Angular frequencyω	6.2832×10 ⁶ rad/s
Primary current I ₁ (peak)	10A
Turns N ₁ =N ₂	1
Radii of two coils $a_1=a_2$	0.48m
Radii of two wires b ₁ =b ₂	0.005m
Separation distance d	0.4m
Resistor load R_L	5Ω

$$L = 0.01595 \cdot 2a \cdot [2.303 \cdot \log_{10}(\frac{8 \cdot 2a}{2b}) - 2] = 2.8 \ \mu H$$

$$\hat{I}_{2} = \frac{j\omega M \hat{I}_{1}}{j\omega L_{2} + R_{L}} = 5.6245 \angle 15.87^{\circ} A$$

$$P_{in} = \frac{1}{2} \hat{I}_{1}^{2} \cdot \text{Re}[j\omega L_{1} + \frac{\omega^{2} M^{2}}{(j\omega L_{2} + R_{L})}] = 79.09 \text{ W}$$

$$P_{out} = \frac{1}{2} \left| \frac{j\omega M \hat{I}_{1}}{j\omega L_{2} + R_{L}} \right|^{2} \cdot R_{L} = 79.09 \text{ W}$$



Fig.18. Input power, power across the mid-plane and output power of the example two-coil IPT system







• Power transfer channel and leakage analysis

Table 3 Active Power transferred of different channels and normalised percentage on mid-plane

Radius of power	Transferr	ed power↩	Percentage⇔		
flow channel↩	mid-plane⇔	mid-plane⇔ d/4 or 3d/4⇔		d/4 or 3d/4∉	
r=0∈⊐	0←⊐	0⇔	0←⊐	0←⊐	
r=0.25a∉⊐	13.68w	21.83w↩	0.173↩	0.276↩□	
r=0.5a⇔	50.14w	55.52w≓	0.634↩	0.702↩	
r=0.75a∉⊐	67.94w≓	69.68w↩	0.859↩	0.881↩	
r=a↩⊐	74.42w	74.90w⇔	0.941↩	0.947↩	
r=1.25a∉⊐	76.88w≓	77.03w⇔	0.972↩	0.974↩	
r=1.5a⇔	77.9w≓	77.98w↩	0.985↩	0.986↩	
r=1.75a∉	78.46w	78.46w⇔	0.992↩	0.992↩	
r=2a⇔	78.7w⇔	78.7w⇔	0.995↩	0.995↩	
r=2.25a∉	78.85w≓	78.85w⇔	0.997↩	0.997↩	
r=2.5a⊄	78.93w⊄⊐	78.93w⇔	0.998↩	0.998⊲	
r=2.75a⇔	79.01w≓	79.01w↩	0.999↩	0.999↩	
r=3a⇔	79.01w⇔	79.01w≓	0.999↩	0.999↩	
₽	¢	÷	1↩	1↩	
r→∞←ī	79.09w≓	79.09w↩	1↩□	1↩	







• Consistent active power transfer through different cross-sectional areas



► If the selected point P is in the plane parallel to the xoy-plane and at the height of z, then the coordinate transformation from the spherical coordinate to the Cartesian coordinate is $\sin \theta_1 = r/r_1$, $\cos \theta_1 = (x+d/2)/r_1 \in C$

$$\sin \theta_2 = r / r_2, \ \cos \theta_1 = (x - d / 2) / r_1, \leftarrow$$

$$r_1 = \sqrt{r^2 + (x + d/2)^2}, r_2 = \sqrt{r^2 + (d/2 - x)^2}, \varphi \in [0, 2\pi); \in [0, 2\pi]$$

 \blacktriangleright The Poynting vector in the selected plane could be simplified as in

 $S_x = 0 \leftarrow 0$

$$\mathbf{S}_{y} = -\frac{4kr(d^{4} + 2d^{2}(r^{2} - 4z^{2}) - 8(r^{2} - 2z^{2})(r^{2} + z^{2}))\eta\sin\phi a_{1}^{2}a_{2}^{2}I_{1}I_{2}}{(4r^{2} + (d - 2z)^{2})^{5/2}(4r^{2} + (d + 2z)^{2})^{5/2}}$$

$$\mathbf{S}_{z} = \frac{24kr^{2}z(-d^{2}+4(r^{2}+z^{2}))\eta\sin\phi a_{1}^{2}a_{2}^{2}I_{1}I_{2}}{(4r^{2}+(d-2z)^{2})^{5/2}(4r^{2}+(d+2z)^{2})^{5/2}} \ll$$

$$P = \omega M I_1 I_2 \sin \phi \quad (= \frac{k \eta a_1^2 a_2^2 \hat{I}_1 \hat{I}_2 \sin \phi \int_0^\infty \frac{24r^2 z (-d^2 + 4(r^2 + z^2))}{(4r^2 + (d - 2z)^2)^{5/2} (4r^2 + (d + 2z)^2)^{5/2}} \cdot 2\pi r dr$$

$$P = \omega M I_1 I_2 \sin \phi \quad (= \frac{k \eta a_1^2 a_2^2 \hat{I}_1 \hat{I}_2 \sin \phi (d - 2z) \sqrt{(d - 2z)^2} (1 + \sqrt{\frac{1}{(d - 2z)^2}} \sqrt{\frac{1}{(d + 2z)^2}} (d^2 - 4z^2))}{8d^3 \sqrt{(d - 2x)^2} (d + 2x)}$$

Fig. 19 A random selected cross-sectional z-plane to calculate the transferred active power

Poynting vector distribution





Fig. 20. Two-dimensional (2D) analytical result of the power distribution between the primary and secondary coil using *Mathematica*.



Fig. 21 Two-dimensional (2D) simulation result of the power distribution between the primary and secondary coil using Computer Simulation Technology (CST).





Fig. 23 The proposed open-circuited IPT system

$$\mathbf{B}_{(x,y,z)} = \frac{\mu_0 I e^{-j\omega t} a_1}{4\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{[0, z\sin\theta, a_1 - y\sin\theta]}{[y^2 + z^2 + a_1^2 - 2a_1 y\sin\theta]^{\frac{3}{2}}} d\theta$$
$$\mathbf{E}_{(x,y,z)} = -j\omega \cdot \mathbf{A}_{(x,y,z)} = j\omega \frac{\mu_0 I e^{-j\omega t} a_1}{4\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{[\sin\theta, 0, 0]}{[y^2 + z^2 + a_1^2 - 2a_1 y\sin\theta]^{\frac{1}{2}}} d\theta$$
$$\mathbf{S} = \mathbf{E}_{(x,y,z)} \times \mathbf{H}_{(x,y,z)}^*$$



 $\operatorname{Re}(\mathbf{S}) = 0$

QuakeCoRE

NZ Centre for Earthquake Resilien

Te Hiranga Rū



Fig. 24 Imaginary components of Poynting vectors distribution of open secondary IPT system, Phase $\theta = 0$. (a) front view, (b) top view.



• Integral surface selection and reactive power calculation



Fig. 25 The Poynting vector at a point on the primary coil cross-section (yoz plane)

$$S_{eff} = S_y \cdot \cos \theta + S_z \cdot \sin \theta$$

where $\cos\theta = \frac{y - a_1}{b_1}$ and $\sin\theta = \frac{z}{b_1}$

The average Poynting vector across the whole torus area is calculated to obtain the reactive power of the primary coil.

$$Q_{PR} = \iint_A S_{eff} \cdot dA = S_{eff} \cdot 4\pi^2 a_1 b_1$$

• Reactive power by circuit theory

$$L = 0.01595 \cdot 2a_1 \cdot [2.303 \cdot \log_{10}(\frac{8 \cdot 2a_1}{2b_1}) - 2] = 2.8 \ \mu H$$
$$Q = \frac{1}{2} \omega L_1 \hat{I}_1^2 = 879.65 \ \text{var}$$



Fig. 26 Poynting vectors/reactive power at selected points on the primary coil

Table 4 Companson between analytical and simulation results					
Ļ	Poynting vector (var/m²)↩		Reactive p	Error↩	
	Analysed	Simulated	Analysed	Simulated	-1
ţ	value↩	value↩	value⇔	value↩	ļ
A←⊐	9285.5↩	9044.88↩	879.8↩	856.99↩	0.026↩
B⇔⊐	9285.5↩	9048.38↩	879.8↩	857.32↩	0.026↩
C↩コ	9651↩	9320.97↩	914.41↩	883.15	0.044↩
D↩コ	8932↩	8875.46↩	846.31↩	840.93↩	0.006↩
A' ↩	9285.5↩	9052.26↩	879.8↩	857.69↩	0.025↩
B' ↩□	9285.5↩	9044.79↩	879.8↩	856.98↩	0.026↩
C'↩ [□]	9651↩	9338.99↩	914.41↩	884.85⇔	0.032↩
D'↩□	8932↩	8749.6↩	846.31↩	829.01↩	0.002↩
Ave∈	9288.5↩	9059.42↩	\$80.08€	858.36↩	0.025↩

Table 4 Comparison between analytical and simulation results



• Poynting vector at an arbitrary point of a loaded system

The time-averaged Poynting vector S, by its definition as the half of the cross-product of E and conjugate $H(H^*)$, now the imaginary part is calculated as



$$\begin{split} \mathbf{S}_{11} &= \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{E}_{1} \times \mathbf{H}_{1}^{*} = 0 + j \mathbf{S}_{11I} \\ \mathbf{S}_{22} &= \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{E}_{2} \times \mathbf{H}_{2}^{*} = 0 + j \mathbf{S}_{22I} \\ \mathbf{S}_{12} &= \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{E}_{1} \times \mathbf{H}_{2}^{*} = \frac{1}{2} (-\mathbf{E}_{1\phi} \cdot \mathbf{H}_{2\theta}^{*}) \vec{r}_{2} + \frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{E}_{1\phi} \cdot \mathbf{H}_{2r}^{*}) \vec{\theta}_{2} = \mathbf{S}_{12R} + j \mathbf{S}_{12I} \\ \text{where } \mathbf{S}_{12I} &= \frac{k\eta a_{1}^{2} a_{2}^{2} \hat{I}_{1} \hat{I}_{2}}{16r_{1}^{2} r_{2}^{3}} \cos \phi \sin \theta_{1} [\frac{\sin \theta_{2}}{2}, -\cos \theta_{2}, 0]] \\ \mathbf{S}_{21} &= \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{E}_{2} \times \mathbf{H}_{1}^{*} = \frac{1}{2} (-\mathbf{E}_{2\phi} \cdot \mathbf{H}_{1\theta}^{*}) \vec{r}_{1} + \frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{E}_{2\phi} \cdot \mathbf{H}_{1r}^{*}) \vec{\theta}_{1} = \mathbf{S}_{21R} + j \mathbf{S}_{21I} \\ \text{where } \mathbf{S}_{21I} &= \frac{k\eta a_{1}^{2} a_{2}^{2} \hat{I}_{1} \hat{I}_{2}}{16r_{1}^{2} r_{2}^{2}} \cos \phi \sin \theta_{2} [\frac{\sin \theta_{1}}{2}, -\cos \theta_{1}, 0] \end{split}$$



QuakeCoRE NZ Centre for Earthquake Resilience Te Hiranga Rū



• Validation by circuit theory

• Reactive power of the primary in a loaded system

After the transformation of coordinates, a plane infinitely close to the primary coil is selected, and the imaginary parts of the z component of the mutual-Poynting vectors

$$S_{21I_{z}} = 0$$

$$Q_{21} = 0$$

$$Q_{21} = \int_{A} S_{12I_{z}} \cdot 2\pi r dr$$

$$S_{12I_{z}} = \frac{-3dk\eta \cos\phi a_{1}^{2}a_{1}^{2}I_{1}I_{2}}{16r(d^{2} + r^{2})^{5/2}} \cdot 2\pi r dr = -\frac{k\pi\eta \cos\phi a_{1}^{2}a_{1}^{2}I_{1}I_{2}}{4d^{3}}$$

The reactive power supplied by the current flowing in the primary coil is equal to the integral of the self-Poynting

vector \mathbf{S}_{11I} over the closed torus of the primary coil,

$$Q_{11} = \iint_{A} S_{11I_eff} \cdot dA = S_{11I_eff} \cdot 4\pi^{2} a_{1} b_{1} = 880.08 \text{ var}$$

Plus the reactive power by integral of the mutual-Poynting
vectors over the infinity plane which is close to the
primary coil,
$$Q_{12} = \iint_A S'_{12I_z} dA = \int_0^\infty S'_{12I_z} \cdot 2\pi r dr = -284.38$$
 var

$$i_{1} \underbrace{\mathbf{V}_{21} = j\omega M \mathbf{I}_{2}}_{\mathbf{V}_{12} = j\omega M \mathbf{I}_{1}} \underbrace{\mathbf{I}_{2}}_{\mathbf{V}_{12} = j\omega M \mathbf{I}_{1}} \underbrace{\mathbf{V}_{12} = j\omega M \mathbf{I}_{1}}_{\mathbf{S}_{21}}$$

Fig. 27 Diagram of lumped circuit

$$Q = \text{Im}[I_1^2 * j\omega L_1 + I_1^2 * \frac{\omega^2 M^2}{j\omega L_2 + R_L}]$$

= (879.65 - 290.64) var
= 589.01 var

 $Q = Q_{11} + Q_{12} = 595.7$ var

Experimental Validation

Equivalent circuit $_{M}$ • l2 $L_{\rm pi}$ $R_{\rm L}$ $C_{\rm pt}$

Fig. 28. Equivalent circuit of the practical IPT setup Table 5 Parameters of the practical built IPT setup

Parameters⇔	Values⇔		
System operating frequency f^{\exists}	1 MHz∈		
Angular frequency $\omega \in$	6.2832×10 ⁶ rad/s∉		
Primary current I₁ (peak)⇔	3.8A⊄		
Turns N ₁ =N ₂ \triangleleft	14		
Radii of two coils $a_1=a_2$	0.35m⇔		
Radii of two wires $b_1=b_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$	0.005m ^{(□}		
Inductance of the primary coil∉	2.679µH↩		
Inductance of the secondary coil	2.639µH⇔		
Primary tuning inductor Lui	1µH⇔		
Primary tuning capacitor $C_{pt} ^{\scriptscriptstyle (2)}$	34nF⇔		
Primary tuning capacitor $C_p \in$	14.51nF↩		
Secondary tuning capacitor $C_{s}^{\scriptscriptstyle \subsetneq}$	10.76nF⇔		
Separation distance d^{\triangleleft}	0.175m∉⊐		
Lateral misalignment d \leftarrow	0.105m [,] ⊐		
Resistor load <i>R</i> L←	1.3Ω⊄		
<u>Litz</u> wire∉	4 turns (4-mm diameter)⇔		



Te Hiranga Rū

QuakeCoRE NZ Centre for Earthquake Resilience







Fig. 29 Practical demonstration of the IPT setup with good alignment

Fig. 30 Waveforms of the IPT system with good alignment

Table 6 Results comparison of the IPT setup with two coils coaxially Aligned

تې	<□ Open circuit←□			loaded		
÷	Poynting vector⇔ analysis⇔	Circuit analysis∉	Experiment⇔	Poynting vector⇔ analysis⇔	Circuit⇔ analysis≓	Experiment⇔
Active power⇔ (W)⇔	0←	0←	0←⊐	1.72€	1.75	1.66↩
Reactive power← (var)←	127.4↩	123.4	123.4↩	120.63↩	118.2	118.6

Experimental Validation

• Experimental results of the system with misalignment



Fig. 31 Practical demonstration of the IPT setup with misalignment of 10.5cm



Fig. 32 Waveforms of the IPT system with misalignment of 10.5cm

Table 7 Results comparison of the IPT setup with two coils misaligned

÷	Open circuit ←				loaded	
Ę	Poynting vector analysis⇔	Circuit analysis≓	Experimen t←	Poynting vector analysis⇔	Circuit analysis∉	Experimen t←
Active power (W)싄	0←	0←	0←	1.51€	1.5₽	1.38
Reactive power⇔ (var)⇔	127.4↩	118.2	118.2↩	121.4	117.3↩	117.3

Publications





Journal papers

- [1] Liu, Yuan, and Aiguo Patrick Hu. "Investigation of Reactive Power Circulation between Two Coupled Coils of Inductively Coupled Wireless Power Transfer System by Poynting Vector Analysis", *International Journal of Electrical Power & Energy System*, DOI: <u>10.1016/J.IJEPES.2021.107621</u>.
- [2] Liu, Yuan, and Aiguo Patrick Hu. "Study of power flow in an IPT system based on Poynting vector analysis." *Energies*, 11.1 (2018): 165, DOI: <u>10.3390/en11010165</u>.
- [3] L. J. Zou, Yuan Liu, Yu-gang Su, A. P. Hu, "Study of power flow mechanism of capacitive power transfer system based on Poynting vector analysis," International Journal of Electrical Power & Energy System 134, DOI: 10.1016/J.IJEPES.2021.107374.
- [4] K. Zhang, X. Ren, Y. Liu, S. Hui, B. Song, "Analytical Solution and Experimental Validation of the Electromagnetic Field in an IPT System", Applied Sciences, DOI: <u>10.3390/app9071323</u>.

Conference Papers

- [5] Liu, Yuan, Aiguo Patrick Hu, and Kehan Zhang. "Numerical Analysis of Reactive Power Distribution between Two Coupled Coils by Poynting Vector." 2020 IEEE PELS Workshop on Emerging Technologies: Wireless Power Transfer (WoW). IEEE, 2020.
- [6] Liu, Yuan, and Aiguo Patrick Hu. "Power density distribution analysis of an IPT system based on Poynting vector." 2017 IEEE 12th International Conference on Power Electronics and Drive Systems (PEDS). IEEE, 2017.
- [7] Liu, Yuan, Aiguo Patrick Hu, and Udaya Madawala. "Determining the power distribution between two coupled coils based on Poynting vector analysis." 2017 IEEE PELS Workshop on Emerging Technologies: Wireless Power Transfer (WoW). IEEE, 2017
- [8] Liu, Yuan, Patrick Aiguo Hu, and Udaya K. Madawala. "Maximum power transfer and efficiency analysis of different inductive power transfer tuning topologies." 2015 IEEE 10th Conference on Industrial Electronics and Applications (ICIEA). IEEE, 2015.







Thank You!