# Past, Present, and Future of 2D Site Response with Soil Heterogeneity

Chris de la Torre

**Brendon Bradley** 

Chris McGann

Mohammad Eskandarighadi

Felipe Kuncar

DT1 Kick-off meeting

29 July 2021





#### Introduction

- 1D structure extended to 2D (no lateral variability in soil layers)
- Represents "random" geologic structure missed by site characterisation
  - Limited number of explorations
  - Averaging of V<sub>s</sub> across a soil layer (surface wave testing)



#### Past: Parametric Analysis

- To understand influence of random field input parameters on site response
- Using a simple, idealised, single-layer profile

 $\sigma_{\text{InVs}} - Most \ influential$ 

r<sub>H</sub> = 5 m



σ<sub>InVs</sub> = 0.175

$$\sigma_{\text{InVs}} = 0.325$$



#### Present: Application to Vertical Array Sites

- Database of 21 vertical array sites in California (Afshari et al. 2019)
- Extension of 2D method to multi-layered profiles
- Boundary conditions for recorded "within" motions
  - Theoretically should use rigid base
  - Compliant base preferred for heterogeneous models



de la Torre et al. (2021)

#### Foster City: Strong 2D/3D Effects



### Treasure Island: A 1D Site!

#### Do features of 1D Vs profile manifest in 2D analyses??



de la Torre et al. (2021)

#### Future: Towards Modelling 2D/3D Structure

- Goal: Model more physics! (2D/3D effects)
- Pseudo-3D Vs modelling approach by Hallal and Cox (2021)
  - Depth to be drock from Vs measurement scaled by  $\rm f_0$  from H/V
  - Can run 2D cross-sections in current implementation



Hallal and Cox (2021)

## Wellington Basin Case Study

- Large database of H/V measurements
- Ongoing site characterisation at SMS
- Extensive characterisation of various reclamations





Dhakal et al. 2019



