Introd	luction
111100	lucuon

### Validation of ground motion simulations in the Canterbury region

H.N.T. Razafindrakoto<sup>1</sup>, B.A. Bradley<sup>1</sup>, R. Lee<sup>1</sup>, A. Nazer<sup>1</sup>,R.W. Graves<sup>2</sup> <sup>1</sup>University of Canterbury <sup>2</sup>U.S. Geological Survey





Introduction	Methodology	Simulation Result	Conclusion
Motivation			

- To ensure robustness and reliability of ground motion for engineering use
- Lack of ground motion records for distances less than 10km
- Structural analyses: need of acceleration time series



#### Why Canterbury?

- Canterbury earthquake sequence has provided a wealth of ground motion data
- Systematic biased: shortcomings of the empirical ground motion prediction

#### **Motivation**



#### Why Canterbury?

- Canterbury earthquake sequence has provided a wealth of ground motion data
- Systematic biased: shortcomings of the empirical ground motion prediction

#### Objective

- To analyze Canterbury events using physics-based methods
- To investigate the importance of **rupture model** in ground motion simulations



BB

HF

121

12

0.6

0.4

Frequency (Hz)

Courtesy of J. Bayless

Introduction	Methodology	Simulation Result	Conclusion
Key ingre	dients		

- Source model: generated using stochastic slip generator
- Crustal structure: 3D velocity model (Lee et al., 2016)



Methodology

Simulation Result

Conclusion

#### Ground shaking for the $M_w7.1$ Darfield event



Ground motion validation in Canterbury region

In	111	ŝ	а	 0	•	5	n
		0			u		

**4** 10<sup>-3</sup>

Methodology

Simulation Result

Conclusion

#### PGA and SA distance-decays (v1.64)



Source-to-site distance, R rup (km)

10

Conclusion

#### PGA and SA distance-decays (v1.64)



Conclusion

#### PGA and SA distance-decays (v1.64)



#### Spectral acceleration residuals



- Median values oscillate around zero model-bias
- Simulations reduce the long-period bias compared with the GMPE prediction

Methodology

Simulation Result

Conclusion

### Source sensitivity:2011 M<sub>w</sub>6.2 Christchurch



- Incorporate source variability: 10 rupture model realizations for  $M_w$ 6.2 Christchurch event
- Fixed fault geometry and hypocenter

n †	- 11	0	а	 $\sim$	۰	$\sim$	
		o	u		L	U	

Methodology

Simulation Result

Conclusion

#### Source sensitivity: 2011 M<sub>w</sub>6.2 Christchurch



- Source effect: relatively small (about 10% total residual)
- Overall between-event residual: unbiased prediction
- Note: variability originates solely from spatial distribution of rupture model



- Presence of strong wavefront distortion for the multi-fault segment faults
- Variability of spectral acceleration residual is relatively large for stations close to the fault
- Fault segmentations are required particularly west of the fault to better match the observed ground motion records

Introduction	Methodology	Simulation Result 000●	Conclusion
Bevond	Canterbury		

- Impacts of hypocenter location and the finite-fault model.
- Potential level of ground shaking in different areas.
- Validation is done based on moderate magnitude events that occurred in the vicinity of the faults.



Introduction	Methodology	Simulation Result	Conclusion
Summary			

- This study suggests that the effect of rupture model on ground motion depends on the source size and the dimension of the source complexity.
- Ground motion simulations capture the structural complexity of sedimentary basin.
- Simulation provide equal or better predictions of the observed ground motion amplitudes compared to that of the empirical GMPE.

#### Implications

- Better understanding of the ground motion variability.
- Benefits in improving the seismic hazard analyses and the building code.

#### Methodology

Simulation Result



TE PŪTEA RANGAHAU A MARSDEN



QuakeCoRE





### Thank You



#### **Spectral matching**



- Choose target spectrum
- Ground motion: based on the dominant  $M_w$  and distance that contribute to the hazard
- NZ Seismic design code (NZS1170.5:2004): [0.4*T*1, 1.3*T*1]



Ground motion validation in Canterbury region

Conclusion

#### Total and between-event residuals



- Between-event residuals contribute about 50% of the total residual.
- No apparent trend with the event magnitude

Methodology

Simulation Result

Conclusion

# Spatial variability of residuals for 1D and 3D-structures (Feb 22)









Ground motion validation in Canterbury region





Methodology

Simulation Result

Conclusion

## Spatial variability of residuals for 1D and 3D-structures (Sept 4)









Ground motion validation in Canterbury region





16/16